

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/781,589 02/17/2004		02/17/2004	Karel F.A. Smits	P-7718.04	3425	
27581	7590	03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924				MULLEN, KRIS	TEN DROESCH	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3766	3766	
				D. MIT. 14. II DD 02/14/000	DATE MAIL ED. 02/14/2007	

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

(1	J

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/781,589	SMITS, KAREL F.A.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kristen Mullen	3766	

	70.707,000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u></u>					
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Kristen Mullen	3766						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.						
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In n								
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI r).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension (2) final Office action; or final	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ending a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.					
AMENDMENTS								
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
 (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 								
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•,,,p,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	. (
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendm	nent canceling					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:) ⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ v ovided below or appended.	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary					
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a (1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered b	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	,	M/E///	7/					
		OBERT E. PEZZUT						
Krister Mullen	SUPERVI	ISORÝ PRIMARY E	XAMINER					

Continuation of 13. Other: The new considerations raised are: a first segment with a first radially directed force and a second segment with a second DIFFERENT radially directed force.